

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,237	04/05/2004	Anatoliy V. Tsyrganovich	ZILG.248US1	7465	
36257 7	590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	SUE & DE RUNTZ I MERY STREET	LIE, ANGELA M			
SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111	2821			

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					M		
Office Action Summary		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	741		
		10/820	,237	TSYRGANOVICH	TSYRGANOVICH, ANATOLIY V.		
		Examir	ner	Art Unit			
		Angela		2821			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on t	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	ldress		
THE M/ - Extension after SIX - If the period of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply specified above is less than thirty used for reply is specified above, the maximum is or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of th d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>05 April 2004</i>					
· <u> </u>	his action is FINAL .		This action is non-final.				
3)□ S	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
c	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims				· ·		
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	n Papers						
10)⊠ Tr A R	ne specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 200</u> pplicant may not request that any objected eplacement drawing sheet(s) including one oath or declaration is objected	$\underline{4}$ is/are: a) \boxtimes accepection to the drawing(some generation is required.	s) be held in abeya uired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim	y documents have by documents have be of the priority docu	een received. een received in ments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of 3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review of tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of tion) Date <u>01/24/2005</u> .		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/820,237

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6717377.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in the application in claim 1 in the third and fourth line the applicant uses terms first and second signal respectively, while claim in the U.S Patent uses the phrases first and second horizontal correction signal. This change in naming does not change the invention claimed in claim 1, because east-west or right to left correction inherently has to have horizontal signals generated in order to perform right to left correction.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis-

added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 9-13 and 16-20 respectively of prior U.S. Patent No 6717377. This is a double patenting rejection.

The Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- US 5449982 discloses top/bottom pincushion correction circuit but it does not teach that the signal combiner generates parabolic portion of the first signal being continuous with the parabolic portion of the second signal
- US 4395662 discloses correction circuits for projection television. It comprises the bottom side pincushion circuit and the top side pincushion circuit, and the adder. It does not teach however that the signal combiner generates parabolic portion of the first signal being continuous with the parabolic portion of the second signal

Application/Control Number: 10/820,237

Art Unit: 2821

- US 4810939 discloses a top and bottom pincushion distortions correcting circuits used for CRT, but it does not specifically teach how signals are combined

US 5051608 discloses a circuit arrangement for supplying a periodic substantially parabolic signal, but it does not teach that the signal combiner generates parabolic portion of the first signal being continuous with the parabolic portion of the second signal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela M. Lie whose telephone number is 571-272-8445. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 4